

MINNESOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, MANKATO
GRANT WRITING INCENTIVE RUBRIC

Applicant Name: _____

Evaluator: _____ Signature: _____

Scale: Please score each of the following categories by selecting the number which best describes your rating.

- A score of 9 indicates the highest possible rating.
- A score of 1 indicates the lowest possible rating.

RATING	SCORE	DESCRIPTOR
High	9	Exceptional
	8	Outstanding
	7	Excellent
Moderate	6	Very Good
	5	Good
	4	Satisfactory
Low	3	Fair
	2	Marginal
	1	Poor

Please score using the Rating Scale above (1-9 points each).

1. Purpose – The basic ideas, problems, or questions examined by the research and description of what the grant will accomplish.

1a. Overall purpose description _____

1b. Communication to a general audience _____

2. Previously Demonstrated Research/Professional Success – Foundational works that support the proposed project. (e.g., previous grants, publications, exhibitions, etc.)

2a. Overall assessment of potential for success _____

2b. Referencing the CV, demonstrated work and previous research successes _____

3. Timeline – Process for attainment of goals and a process for writing and submitting your grant.

3a. The writing work plan is clear and sufficient, OR the description of a successful external grant submission is clear and sufficient _____

4. Overall Project Evaluation

4a. Quality of the conception, definition, organization and description of the project_____

4b. The proposal follows the guidelines and application process_____

Total Score: [Σ ratings] _____(out of 63 points)

Overall Proposal: Which of the following three categories do you feel this project falls into?

A Should be funded **B** Possibly funded **C** Not funded in current state

Comments/feedback for PI: